

List of criteria for the evaluation of Bachelor's theses

Candidate **NN**
Title of work **Title**
Surveyor **NN**

Requirement criteria:

- 0 = not applicable / no information possible
- ++ = above average
- + = as expected
- = not

Please note:

This list of criteria should be used as an expertise. However, it will only be accepted by the examination office if a conclusive total evaluation including the grade is given at the end. The text fields for the justification and total evaluation can be extended as required.

Formulation of aims and tasks	Evaluation
Is the aim of the work clearly formulated?	
Is the introduction to the topic comprehensible and sufficient?	
Are working hypotheses expressed?	
Is the method of approaching the question explained?	

Justification:

Skills and methods	Evaluation
Are the methods that are used to solve the problem described correctly?	
Is the applied methodology fully mastered and used without assistance?	
Is the specialized knowledge acquired during the studies applied in a problem-oriented way?	
Is relevant literature considered?	
Is the bibliography being created autonomously?	

Justification:

Presentation of the results	Evaluation
Are the results presented transparently and competently?	
Is the significance of the results (with errors) discussed?	
Is there a clear differentiation between the student's own results and those from other works?	

Justification:

Discussion	Evaluation
-------------------	-------------------

Is there a logical sequence of results and interpretations?	
Are the interpretations proven by facts?	
Are the results of one's own work evaluated and interpreted in the context of other work?	
Is the question addressed and comprehensively dealt with in the discussion?	
Do participants bring in their own ideas?	

Justification:

Summary and conclusions	Evaluation
Is the summary an outline of the entire content of the work?	
Are open questions pointed out?	

Justification:

Organization, accuracy and structure of the work	Evaluation
Is the work clearly structured, e.g. separation between results and discussion?	
Is the significance of the individual chapters correct, e.g. length of the discussion?	
Have technical terms been precisely and correctly used?	
Are all figures and tables numbered and labeled correctly?	
Are the figures well designed and correct?	
Are all references to the figures correct?	
Is the citation method consistent and correct?	
Is the bibliography complete and correct?	
Are all abbreviations and symbols explained?	
Is the layout of the work appealing?	
Are spelling and grammar correct?	
Is the quantity scope of work appropriate?	

Justification:

Total evaluation:

Total score:

Date:

Signature: